following address: Regional Director, Bureau of Reclamation, Attention: Lee Swenson, UC-750, 125 South State Street, Room 6107, Salt Lake City, UT 84138-1102; telephone: (801) 524-5580.

Copies of the FEIS are available for inspection at the address above and also at the following locations:

- Office of the Commissioner, Bureau of Reclamation, Environmental and Planning Branch, 18th and C Streets NW., Room 7455, Washington, DC 20240, Telephone: (202) 343–4662
- Reclamation Service Center, Bureau of Reclamation, Library, Room 167, Building 67, Denver Federal Center, Denver, CO 80225, Telephone: (303) 236–6963.

Libraries

Copies will also be available for inspection at libraries in the project vicinity.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lee Swenson (Regional Environmental Officer), Upper Colorado Region, (801) 524–5580.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Sanpete Water Conservancy District is proposing to build a multiple purpose water development project that would provide water for irrigation and municipal use. Water from the project would come from a transmountain diversion from upper Gooseberry Creek and its tributaries which are located in the Prince River drainage. Irrigation water shortages would be reduced from their present level of 30 percent to about 19 percent.

Three alternatives, including No Action, were considered in the draft statement. The two action alternatives were: (1) The proponent's Recommended Plan; and (2) Smaller Reservoir Plan. The Recommended Plan will provide to north Sanpete County an average annual supply of 4,920 acre-feet of supplemental irrigation water for 15,420 acres of presently irrigated farmland and 480 acre-feet of water for municipal use. The service area encompasses about 49,000 acres. The project plan will include construction of Narrows Dam and Reservoir on Gooseberry Creek, pipelines to deliver the water to existing water distribution systems, rehabilitation of the existing Narrows Tunnel, and relocation of 2.9 miles of State Road (SR) 264. The project will also provide recreation opportunities and fish and wildlife improvements. In addition to the two action plans and the No Action Plan, the FEIS also evaluates in less detail the impacts of several non-viable alternatives.

The principal environmental consequences that would result from the

two action plans include: Increased crop production, economic stability and growth, expanded fish and wildlife resources and recreational opportunities.

Dated: January 24, 1995.

Rick L. Gold,

Deputy Regional Director. [FR Doc. 95–2516 Filed 2–1–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–94–M

National Park Service

Notice of Intent To Repatriate a Cultural Item in the Possession of the Museum of New Mexico, Santa Fe, New Mexico

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior. **ACTION:** Notice.

Notice is hereby given under provisions of the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act of the intent to repatriate cultural items in the possession of the Museum of Indian Arts and Culture/Laboratory of Anthropology, a unit of the Museum of New Mexico, Santa Fe, New Mexico, that meets the definition of "sacred object" under Section 2 of the Act.

The item is a prayer stick used as a part of the Navajo Enemyway ceremony. The object consists of nine parts: two eagle feathers, a cedar branch, a piece of red cloth, a can of animal fat, deer hooves, and three leather pouches. The object was a gift to the Museum of new Mexico by its first director, Dr. Edgar Lee Hewett. These objects were part of a medicine bag containing approximately sixty-nine pieces, purchased by Hewett at a trading post east of the Chuska Mountains on the Navajo Reservation prior to 1935.

The Navajo Nation after consultation with traditional religious leaders, requested that the prayer stick and the associated items be repatriated. The Museum's records indicate the objects under consideration for repatriation are Navajo in origin and were, most likely used by Navajo Medicine Men during the first two decades of the 20th century.

Based on the above mentioned information, officials of the Museum of Indian Arts and Culture, a unit of the Museum of New Mexico have determined, pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001 (3)(C), that these items are specific ceremonial objects needed by traditional Navajo religious leaders for the practice of their religion by its present day adherents. Officials of the Museum of Indian Arts and Culture, a unit of the Museum of New Mexico have further determined, pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001

(2), that there is a relationship of shared group identity which can be reasonably traced between these items and the Navajo Nation.

The catalog numbered objects, 23075/12a-g and 23072/12a-b, are officially part of the collection now identified as the School of American Research Collection in the Museum of New Mexico, a loan agreement resulting from fifty years of the two institutions operating as one entity under a single Director. The School, now separate from the Museum of New Mexico, through written correspondence dated January 6, 1995 has agreed to repatriate the prayer stick and associated items.

Authorities of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service have been contacted regarding applicability of Federal endangered species statutes to this transfer and have concurred in the conclusion that the object is not covered due to its age.

This notice has been sent to officials of the Navajo Nation. Representatives of any other Indian tribe which believes itself to be culturally affiliated with these cultural items should contact Dr. Bruce Bernstein, Chief Curator, Museum of Indian Arts and Culture, Museum of New Mexico, P.O. Box 2087, Santa Fe, NM 87504, telephone: (505) 827–6344, before March 6, 1995. Repatriation of these sacred objects to the Navajo Nation may begin after that date if no additional claimants come forward. Dated: January 26, 1995.

Francis P. McManamon,

Departmental Consulting Archeologist, Chief, Archeological Assistance Division [FR Doc. 95–2539 Filed 2–1–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–70–F

INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT COOPERATION AGENCY

Agency for International Development

SES Performance Review Board

AGENCY: Agency for International Development.

ACTION: Correction to notice of membership of 1995 Senior Executive Service (SES) Performance Review Board.

summary: In the announcement notice of the SES Performance Review Board membership for 1995, the alternate members were inadvertently omitted. This notice corrects the original announcement, identified as FR Document 95–1382 (filed 1–19–95) under Section 4190 of the Federal Register published January 20, 1995, to add the alternate members as follows:

Scott Smith, Alternate SFS Member Kathryn Cunningham, Alternate SES Member

Amy Billingsley, Alternate Public Member

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: R. Darlene DeWitt, (202) 663–1423.

Dated: January 26, 1995.

Shirley D. Renrick,

Executive Secretary, Performance Review Board.

[FR Doc. 95–2503 Filed 2–1–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6116–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Pacific Telesis Electronic Publishing Services, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on September 23, 1994, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), Pacific Telesis Electronic Publishing Services, Inc. ("PTEPS") has filed written notifications on behalf of PTEPS; Ameritech Publishing, Inc., dba Ameritech advertising services ("Aas"); Intelligent Media Ventures ("IMVI"); and NYNEX Information Resources Company ("NIRC") simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are PTEPS, San Ramon, CA; Aas, Troy, MI; IMVI, Atlanta, GA; and NIRC, Middleton, MA.

The objectives of the consortium are to expand interactive electronic shopping services by eliminating duplicative effort and expense in the development, maintenance and use of interactive electronic shopping services and by making it easier for advertisers and consumers to utilize these services.

To meet these objectives, the parties will: (1) Identify and develop new technologies for interactive electronic shopping services; (2) conduct market, industry and technology research concerning interactive electronic shopping services; (3) identify opportunities to standardize systems architectures, application interfaces, database structures and software

applications; (4) develop, exchange, license, and maintain common system architectures, application interfaces, database structures and software applications; (5) oversee acceptance testing of member-developed software; (6) develop and market test product prototypes; (7) provide advice to members on the use of systems and tools, systems implementation and troubleshooting; and (8) perform further acts allowed by the Act that would advance the consortium's objectives. Membership in this consortium is open to qualified entities and the consortium will file additional written notifications as changes in membership occur.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division. [FR Doc. 95–2470 Filed 2–1–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–01–M

Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention

[OJP (OJJDP) No. 1040]

RIN 1121-ZA05

AGENCY: Office of Justice Programs, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention.

ACTION: Notice of proposed guideline.

Challenge Grants Program Guideline

SUMMARY: The Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) is requesting public comment on the proposed application guideline for Part E Challenge Grants Program. This program is of interest to all Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 1974, as amended, State formula grantees.

DATES: Comments on the proposed guideline must be received by OJJDP not later than March 6, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Room 742, 633 Indiana Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20531.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Paul Steiner, State Relations and Assistance Division, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, at the above address. Telephone (202) 307–5924.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Section 285 under Title II, Part E of the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 1974, as amended (42 U.S.C. 5601, et seq.), states that the "Administrator may make a grant to a State that receives an allocation under section 222, in the amount of 10 percent of the amount of the allocation, for each challenge activity in which the State participates for the purpose of funding the activity."

Part E—State Challenge Activities is a 1992 amendment to the JJDP Act. In FY 1995, Part E received its first appropriation. The purpose of Part E is to provide incentives for States participating in the Formula Grants Program to develop, adopt, and improve policies and programs in one or more of ten specified Challenge Activities. As used in this Guideline, "State" is defined in Section 103(7) of the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 1974, as amended (42 U.S.C. 5601, et seq.) (JJDP Act). "Formula Grant" refers to a grant to a State under Title II, Part B of the JJDP Act.

The ten Challenge Activities are defined in Part E as follows:

(A) Developing and adopting policies and programs to provide basic health, mental health, and appropriate education services, including special education, for youth in the juvenile justice system as specified in standards developed by the National Advisory Committee for Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention prior to October 12, 1984.

(B) Developing and adopting policies and programs to provide access to counsel for all juveniles in the justice system to ensure that juveniles consult with counsel before waiving the right to counsel.

(C) Increasing community-based alternatives to incarceration by establishing programs (such as expanded use of probation, mediation, restitution, community service, treatment, home detention, intensive supervision, and electronic monitoring) and developing and adopting a set of objective criteria for the appropriate placement of juveniles in detention and secure confinement.

(D) Developing and adopting policies and programs to provide secure settings for the placement of violent juvenile offenders by closing down traditional training schools and replacing them with secure settings with capacities of no more than 50 violent juvenile offenders with ratios of staff to youth great enough to ensure adequate supervision and treatment.

(E) Developing and adopting policies to prohibit gender bias in placement and treatment and establishing programs to ensure that female youth have access to the full range of health and mental health services, treatment for physical or sexual assault and abuse, self defense instruction, education in parenting, education in general, and other training and vocational services.